

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE				
AFFLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/077,475	02/15/2002	John W. Babich	BSA-007.02	2754	
25181	7590 03/11/2003				
FOLEY HO		EXAMINER			
PATENT GR	OUP, WORLD TRADE				
155 SEAPOR BOSTON, M.	T BLVD	PATTERSON, CHARLES L JR			
2001011,11111 02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1652	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/077,475	BABICH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Charles L. Patterson, Jr.	1652			
Donie de	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
- External control con	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	(IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	S) FROM nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 J</u>					
2a)		s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) 139-164 is/are pending in the applicat					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>139-164</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1	I. Certified copies of the priority documents I	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents I		n No.			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	E\	PTO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trad TO-326 (Rev.	emark Office 04-01)					

Application/Control Number: 10/077,475

Art Unit: 1652

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The chemical structures on page 17 do not make any sense. Apparently something is left out. Because the prior application was incorporated by reference, applicant can make this page like the corresponding page of the parent.

On page 88, the second chemical compound has several letters, numbers and chemical bonds that overlap.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 139-164 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The instant specification teaches the encapsulation of several enzymes in silica-based sol-gel matrices and that they have enzymatic activity after encapsulation. However the instant claims are drawn to "treating a patient with [or suffering from] a disease, condition of deficiency". The specification does not teach such a treatment or use any art recognized system to test for such a treatment. One of ordinary skill in the art would not know what specific disease, condition or deficiency could be treated. They would not know whether this treatment would work or whether perhaps this treatment with a silica-based sol-gel matrix would cause some harm to the patient ra-

Application/Control Number: 10/077,475 Page 3 Art Unit: 1652 ther than treating the condition. There is no teaching that any such treatment has been tried and what the results were. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would not know how to use the claimed invention or specifically how to make the invention in a manner so that it would be operable. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles L. Patterson, Jr., PhD, whose telephone number is 703-308-1834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30-4:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy can be reached on 703-308-3804. The fax phone number is 703-308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196. tterson, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652 Patterson March 9, 2003